IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Crim. Application No. 2245 of 2005

R.Shrinivasan ..Applicant

vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Shri A.P.Mundergi with Shri Kuldip S.Patil for applicant.
Shri R.M.Gadhavi, A.P.P. for respondent.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR J.
20th December,2005

P.C.

1. Heard counsel for the parties.

2 . In my opinion, the question whether the applicant had intentionally carried dangerous items without disclosing the contents resulting in breach of the relevant regulation constituting offence under sec.10 of the Aircraft Act read with sec.336 of I.P.C. is a matter for trial. The parties will have to adduce evidence in that behalf. For the time being from the nature of material on record, it is not a case for quashing. Hence, dismissed. As the prayer for quashing is rejected, I am inclined to accept the request of the applicant that atleast trial Court be directed to expedite

the hearing of the criminal case pending before it being Crim.Case No.540/P of 1998, if possible, on day today basis unless some older case is pending on its file. The Trial Court in any case shall attempt to dispose of the said criminal case by the end of September, 2006.